

ECEN 615

Methods of Electric Power Systems Analysis

Lecture 7: DC Power Flow, Gaussian Elimination, Sparse Systems

Prof. Tom Overbye

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Texas A&M University

overbye@tamu.edu



TEXAS A&M
UNIVERSITY

Announcements



- Read Chapter 6 from the book
 - The book does the power flow using the polar form for the Y_{bus} elements
- Homework 2 is due on Thursday September 17
- For homework 2 you'll need to commercial version of PowerWorld Simulator.

DC Power Flow Example



EXAMPLE 6.17

Determine the dc power flow solution for the five bus from Example 6.9.

SOLUTION With bus 1 as the system slack, the **B** matrix and **P** vector for this system are

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -30 & 0 & 10 & 20 \\ 0 & -100 & 100 & 0 \\ 10 & 100 & -150 & 40 \\ 20 & 0 & 40 & -110 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} -8.0 \\ 4.4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\delta = -\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3263 \\ 0.0091 \\ -0.0349 \\ -0.0720 \end{bmatrix} \text{radians} = \begin{bmatrix} -18.70 \\ 0.5214 \\ -2.000 \\ -4.125 \end{bmatrix} \text{degrees}$$

The output of the generator at bus 3 is now 440 MW

Linear System Solution: Introduction



- A problem that occurs in many fields is the solution of linear systems $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ where \mathbf{A} is an n by n matrix with elements a_{ij} , and \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{b} are n -vectors with elements x_i and b_i respectively
- In power systems we are particularly interested in systems when n is relatively large and \mathbf{A} is sparse
 - How large is large is changing
- A matrix is sparse if a large percentage of its elements have zero values
- Goal is to understand the computational issues (including complexity) associated with the solution of these systems

Introduction, cont.



- Sparse matrices arise in many areas, and can have domain specific structures
 - Symmetric matrices
 - Structurally symmetric matrices
 - Tridiagonal matrices
 - Banded matrices
- A good (and free) book on sparse matrices is available at www-users.cs.umn.edu/~saad/IterMethBook_2ndEd.pdf
- ECEN 615 is focused on problems in the electric power domain; it is not a general sparse matrix course
 - Much of the early sparse matrix work was done in power!

Gaussian Elimination



- The best known and most widely used method for solving linear systems of algebraic equations is attributed to Gauss
- Gaussian elimination avoids having to explicitly determine the inverse of \mathbf{A} , which is $O(n^3)$
- Gaussian elimination can be readily applied to sparse matrices
- Gaussian elimination leverages the fact that scaling a linear equation does not change its solution, nor does adding on linear equation to another

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 = 10 \rightarrow x_1 + 2x_2 = 5$$

Gaussian Elimination, cont.



- Gaussian elimination is the elementary procedure in which we use the first equation to eliminate the first variable from the last $n-1$ equations, then we use the new second equation to eliminate the second variable from the last $n-2$ equations, and so on
- After performing $n-1$ such eliminations we end up with a triangular system which is easily solved in a backward direction

Example 1



- We need to solve for \mathbf{x} in the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ -6 & -5 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -5 & 6 & -6 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ -45 \\ -3 \\ 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The three elimination steps are given on the next slides; for simplicity, we have appended the r.h.s. vector to the matrix
- First step is set the diagonal element of row 1 to 1 (i.e., normalize it)

Example 1, cont.



- Eliminate x_1 by subtracting row 1 from all the rows below it

multiply row 1 by $\frac{1}{2}$

multiply row 1 by 6 and add to row 2

multiply row 1 by -2 and add to row 3

multiply row 1 by -4 and add to row 4

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{\frac{3}{2}} & \mathbf{-\frac{1}{2}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{10} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{4} & \mathbf{-3} & \mathbf{\frac{1}{2}} & \mathbf{15} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{-8} & \mathbf{-7} & \mathbf{-6} & \mathbf{-23} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{-4} & \mathbf{7} & \mathbf{-3} & \mathbf{-10} \end{array} \right]$$

Example 1, cont.



- Eliminate x_2 by subtracting row 2 from all the rows below it

multiply row 2 by $\frac{1}{4}$

multiply row 2 by 8
and add to row 3

multiply row 2 by 4
and add to row 4

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{\frac{3}{2}} & \mathbf{-\frac{1}{2}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{10} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{-\frac{3}{4}} & \mathbf{\frac{1}{2}} & \mathbf{\frac{15}{4}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{-2} & \mathbf{7} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{-1} & \mathbf{5} \end{array} \right]$$

Example 1, cont.



- Elimination of x_3 from row 3 and 4

multiply row 3 by 1

multiply row 3 by -1
and add to row 4

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{\frac{3}{2}} & \mathbf{-\frac{1}{2}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{10} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{-\frac{3}{4}} & \mathbf{\frac{1}{2}} & \mathbf{\frac{15}{4}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{-2} & \mathbf{7} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{-2} \end{array} \right]$$

Example 1, cont.



- Then, we solve for x by “going backwards”, i.e., using back substitution:

$$x_4 = -2$$

$$x_3 - 2x_4 = 7 \Rightarrow x_3 = 3$$

$$x_2 - \frac{3}{4}x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_4 = \frac{15}{4} \Rightarrow x_2 = 7$$

$$x_1 + \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 = 10 \Rightarrow x_1 = 1$$

LU Decomposition



- What we did with Gaussian elimination can be thought of as changing the form of the matrix to create two matrices with special structure
- One matrix, shown on the last slide, is upper triangular
- The second matrix, a lower triangular one, keeps track of the operations we did to get the upper triangular matrix
- These concepts will be helpful for a computer implementation of the algorithm and for its application to sparse systems

LU Decomposition Theorem



- Any nonsingular matrix \mathbf{A} has the following factorization:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}$$

where \mathbf{U} could be the upper triangular matrix previously developed (with 1's on its diagonals) and \mathbf{L} is a lower triangular matrix defined by

$$l_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij}^{(j-1)} & j \leq i \\ 0 & j > i \end{cases}$$

LU Decomposition Application



- As a result of this theorem we can rewrite
$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{LUx} = \mathbf{b}$$
Define $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Ux}$ Then $\mathbf{Ly} = \mathbf{b}$
- Can also be set so \mathbf{U} has non unity diagonals
- Once \mathbf{A} has been factored, we can solve for \mathbf{x} by first solving for \mathbf{y} , a process known as forward substitution, then solving for \mathbf{x} in a process known as back substitution
- In the previous example we can think of \mathbf{L} as a record of the forward operations preformed on \mathbf{b} .

LDU Decomposition



- In the previous case we required that the diagonals of \mathbf{U} be unity, while there was no such restriction on the diagonals of \mathbf{L}
- An alternative decomposition is

$$\mathbf{A} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}$$

$$\text{with } \mathbf{L} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{D}$$

where \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix, and the lower triangular matrix is modified to require unity for the diagonals (we'll just use the \mathbf{LU} approach in 615)

Symmetric Matrix Factorization



- The LDU formulation is quite useful for the case of a symmetric matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{D}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^T = \mathbf{A}^T$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^T$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}$$

- Hence only the upper triangular elements and the diagonal elements need to be stored, reducing storage by almost a factor of 2

Symmetric Matrix Factorization



- There are also some computational benefits from factoring symmetric matrices. However, since symmetric matrices are not common in power applications, we will not consider them in-depth
- However, topologically symmetric sparse matrices are quite common, so those will be our main focus

Pivoting



- An immediate problem that can occur with Gaussian elimination is the issue of zeros on the diagonal; for example

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- This problem can be solved by a process known as “pivoting,” which involves the interchange of either both rows and columns (full pivoting) or just the rows (partial pivoting)
 - Partial pivoting is much easier to implement, and actually can be shown to work quite well

Pivoting, cont.



- In the previous example the (partial) pivot would just be to interchange the two rows

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

obviously we need to keep track of the interchanged rows!

- Partial pivoting can be helpful in improving numerical stability even when the diagonals are not zero
 - When factoring row k interchange rows so the new diagonal is the largest element in column k for rows $j \geq k$

LU Algorithm Without Pivoting

Processing by row



- We will use the more common approach of having ones on the diagonals of \mathbf{L} . Also in the common, diagonally dominant power system problems pivoting is not needed. The below algorithm is in row form (useful with sparsity!)

```
For i := 2 to n Do Begin // This is the row being processed
```

```
  For j := 1 to i-1 Do Begin // Rows subtracted from row i
```

```
    A[i,j] = A[i,j]/A[j,j] // This is the scaling
```

```
    For k := j+1 to n Do Begin // Go through each column in i
```

```
      A[i,k] = A[i,k] - A[i,j]*A[j,k]
```

```
    End;
```

```
  End;
```

```
End;
```

LU Example



- Starting matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -12 & -5 \\ -5 & 12 & -6 \\ -4 & -3 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

- First row is unchanged; start with $i=2$
- Result with $i=2, j=1$; done with row 2

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -12 & -5 \\ -0.25 & 9 & -7.25 \\ -4 & -3 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A[2,2] &= A[2,2] - A[2,1] * A[1,2] \\ &= 12 - (-0.25) * (-12) = 9 \\ A[2,3] &= A[2,3] - A[2,1] * A[1,3] \\ &= -6 - (-0.25) * (-5) = -7.25 \end{aligned}$$

LU Example, cont.



- Result with $i=3, j=1$;

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -12 & -5 \\ -0.25 & 9 & -7.25 \\ -0.2 & -5.4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A[3,1] = A[3,1]/A[1,1]$$

$$= -4/20 = -0.2$$

$$A[3,2] = A[3,2] - A[3,1]*A[1,2]$$

$$A[3,2] = -3 - (-0.2)*(-12) = -5.4$$

$$A[3,3] = 8 - (-0.2)*(-5) = 7$$

- Result with $i=3, j=2$; done with row 3; done!

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -12 & -5 \\ -0.25 & 9 & -7.25 \\ -0.2 & -0.6 & 2.65 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A[3,2] = A[3,2]/A[2,2]$$

$$= -5.4/9 = -0.6$$

$$A[3,3] = A[3,3] - A[3,2]*A[2,3]$$

$$A[3,3] = 7 - (-0.6)*(-7.25) = 2.65$$

LU Example, cont.



- Original matrix is used to hold **L** and **U**

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -12 & -5 \\ -5 & 12 & -6 \\ -4 & -3 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{LU}$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.25 & 1 & 0 \\ -0.2 & -0.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -12 & -5 \\ 0 & 9 & -7.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.65 \end{bmatrix}$$

With this approach
the original **A** matrix
has been replaced
by the factored values!

Forward Substitution



Forward substitution solves $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{y}$ with values in \mathbf{b} being over written (replaced by the \mathbf{y} values)

```
For i := 2 to n Do Begin // This is the row being processed
  For j := 1 to i-1 Do Begin
    b[i] = b[i] - A[i,j]*b[j] // This is just using the L matrix
  End;
End;
```

Forward Substitution Example



$$\text{Let } \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 20 \\ 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{From before } \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.25 & 1 & 0 \\ -0.2 & -0.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y[1] = 10$$

$$y[2] = 20 - (-0.25) * 10 = 22.5$$

$$y[3] = 30 - (-0.2) * 10 - (-0.6) * 22.5 = 45.5$$

Backward Substitution



- Backward substitution solves $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}$ (with values of \mathbf{y} contained in the \mathbf{b} vector as a result of the forward substitution)

```
For i := n to 1 Do Begin // This is the row being processed
```

```
  For j := i+1 to n Do Begin
```

```
    b[i] = b[i] - A[i,j]*b[j] // This is just using the U matrix
```

```
  End;
```

```
  b[i] = b[i]/A[i,i] // The A[i,i] values are  $\neq 0$  if it is nonsingular
```

```
End
```

Backward Substitution Example



$$\text{Let } \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 22.5 \\ 45.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{From before } \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -12 & -5 \\ 0 & 9 & -7.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.65 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x[3] = (1 / 2.65) * 45.5 = 17.17$$

$$x[2] = (1 / 9) * (22.5 - (-7.25) * 17.17) = 16.33$$

$$x[1] = (1 / 20) * (10 - (-5) * 17.17 - (-12) * 16.33) = 14.59$$

Computational Complexity



- Computational complexity indicates how the number of numerical operations scales with the size of the problem
- Computational complexity is expressed using the “Big O” notation; assume a problem of size n
 - Adding the number of elements in a vector is $O(n)$
 - Adding two n by n full matrices is $O(n^2)$
 - Multiplying two n by n full matrices is $O(n^3)$
 - Inverting an n by n full matrix, or doing Gaussian elimination is $O(n^3)$
 - Solving the traveling salesman problem by brute-force search is $O(n!)$

Computational Complexity



- Knowing the computational complexity of a problem can help to determine whether it can be solved (at least using a particular method)
 - Scaling factors do not affect the computation complexity
 - an algorithm that takes $n^3/2$ operations has the same computational complexity of one that takes $n^3/10$ operations (though obviously the second one is faster!)
- With $O(n^3)$ factoring a full matrix becomes computationally intractable quickly!
 - A 100 by 100 matrix takes a million operations (give or take)
 - A 1000 by 1000 matrix takes a billion operations
 - A 10,000 by 10,000 matrix takes a trillion operations!

Sparse Systems



- The material presented so far applies to any arbitrary linear system
- The next step is to see what happens when we apply triangular factorization to a sparse matrix
- For a sparse system, only nonzero elements need to be stored in the computer since no arithmetic operations are performed on the 0's
- The LU factorization is adapted to solve sparse systems in such a way as to preserve the sparsity as much as possible

Sparse Matrix History



- A nice overview of sparse matrix history is by Iain Duff at <http://www.siam.org/meetings/la09/talks/duff.pdf>
- Sparse matrices developed simultaneously in several different disciplines in the early 1960's with power systems definitely one of the key players (Bill Tinney from BPA)
- Different disciplines claim credit since they didn't necessarily know what was going on in the others

Sparse Matrix History



- In power systems a key N. Sato, W.F. Tinney, “Techniques for Exploiting the Sparsity of the Network Admittance Matrix,” Power App. and Syst., pp 944-950, December 1963
 - In the paper they are proposing solving systems with up to 1000 buses (nodes) in 32K of memory!
 - You’ll also note that in the discussion by El-Abiad, Watson, and Stagg they mention the creation of standard test systems with between 30 and 229 buses (this surely included the now famous 118 bus system)
 - The BPA authors talk “power flow” and the discussors talk “load flow.”
- Tinney and Walker present a much more detailed approach in their 1967 IEEE Proceedings paper titled “Direct Solutions of Sparse Network Equations by Optimally Order Triangular Factorization”

Sparse Matrix Computational Order



- The computational order of factoring a sparse matrix, or doing a forward/backward substitution depends on the matrix structure
 - Full matrix is $O(n^3)$
 - A diagonal matrix is $O(n)$; that is, just invert each element
- For power system problems the classic paper is F. L. Alvarado, “Computational complexity in power systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, May/June 1976
 - $O(n^{1.4})$ for factoring, $O(n^{1.2})$ for forward/backward
 - For a 100,000 by 100,000 matrix changes computation for factoring from 1 quadrillion to 10 million!

Inverse of a Sparse Matrix



- The inverse of a sparse matrix is NOT in general a sparse matrix
- We never (or at least very, very, very seldom) explicitly invert a sparse matrix
 - Individual columns of the inverse of a sparse matrix can be obtained by solving $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ with \mathbf{b} set to all zeros except for a single nonzero in the position of the desired column
 - If a few desired elements of \mathbf{A}^{-1} are desired (such as the diagonal values) they can usually be computed quite efficiently using sparse vector methods (a topic we'll be considering soon)
- We can't invert a singular matrix (whether sparse or full)

Computer Architecture Impacts



- With modern computers the processor speed is many times faster than the time it takes to access data in main memory
 - Some instructions can be processed in parallel
- Caches are used to provide quicker access to more commonly used data
 - Caches are smaller than main memory
 - Different cache levels are used with the quicker caches, like L1, have faster speeds but smaller sizes; L1 might be 256K, whereas the slower L2 might be 2M
- Data structures can have a significant impact on sparse matrix computation

Full Matrix versus Sparse Matrix Storage



- Full matrices are easily stored in arrays with just one variable needed to store each value since the value's row and column are implicitly available from its matrix position
- With sparse matrices two or three elements are needed to store each value
 - The zero values are not explicitly stored
 - The value itself, its row number and its column number
 - Storage can be reduced by storing all the elements in a particular row or column together
- Because large matrices are often quite sparse, the total storage is still substantially reduced

Sparse Matrix Usage Can Determine the Optimal Storage



- How a sparse matrix is used can determine the best storage scheme to use
 - Row versus column access; does the structure change
- Is the matrix essentially used only once? That is, its structure and values are assumed new each time used
- Is the matrix structure constant, with its values changed
 - This would be common in the N-R power flow, in which the structure doesn't change each iteration, but its values do
- Is the matrix structure and values constant, with just the **b** vector in $\mathbf{Ax}=\mathbf{b}$ changing
 - Quite common in transient stability solutions

Numerical Precision



- Required numerical precision determines type of variables used to represent numbers
 - Specified as number of bytes, and whether signed or not
- For Integers
 - One byte is either 0 to 255 or -128 to 127
 - Two bytes is either smallint (-32,768 to 32,767) or word (0 to 65,536)
 - Four bytes is either Integer (-2,147,483,648 to 2,147,483,647) or Cardinal (0 to 4,294,967,295)
 - This is usually sufficient for power system row/column numbers
 - Eight bytes (Int64) if four bytes is not enough

Numerical Precision, cont.



- For floating point values using choice is between four bytes (single precision) or eight bytes (double precision); extended precision has ten bytes
 - Single precision allows for 6 to 7 significant digits
 - Double precision allows for 15 to 17 significant digits
 - Extended allows for about 18 significant digits
 - More bytes requires more storage
 - Computational impacts depend on the underlying device; on PCs there isn't much impact; GPUs can be 3 to 8 times slower for double precision
- For most power problems double precision is best

General Sparse Matrix Storage



- A general approach for storing a sparse matrix would be using three vectors, each dimensioned to number of elements
 - AA: Stores the values, usually in power system analysis as double precision values (8 bytes)
 - JR: Stores the row number; for power problems usually as an integer (4 bytes)
 - JC: Stores the column number, again as an integer
- If unsorted then both row and column are needed
- New elements could easily be added, but costly to delete
- Unordered approach doesn't make for good computation since elements used next computationally aren't necessarily nearby
- Usually ordered, either by row or column

Sparse Storage Example



- Assume
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & -2 \\ -4 & -3 & -2 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Then
$$\mathbf{AA} = [5 \quad -4 \quad 4 \quad -3 \quad 3 \quad -2 \quad -4 \quad -3 \quad -2 \quad 10]$$
$$\mathbf{JR} = [1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 4 \quad 4 \quad 4]$$
$$\mathbf{JC} = [1 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4]$$

Note, this example is a symmetric matrix, but the technique is general